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The field (Fig. 1) was estimated by the method of the finite difference numerical solution and the experimental results were analyzed to determine the effective field strength. The results indicate that the field strength is a function of the distance from the source and the angle of incidence. The field strength is maximum at the center of the source and decreases with increasing distance from the source.
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RESULTS

The electron microscopic and photographic sections of the tumor and the adjacent normal skin were prepared. The results are shown in Figs. 1-3. The tumor cells were arranged in a sheet-like arrangement, with a tendency to form small clusters. The nuclei were large and hyperchromatic, with prominent nucleoli. The cytoplasm was eosinophilic and contained a few organelles. The adjacent normal skin showed no significant differences in the histological structure.
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